

S0040-4039(96)00057-3

A Dramatic Reversal of Facial Selectivity in the Sharpless Asymmetric Dihydroxylation of a Sterically Hindered 3-Methylidene-benzofuran

Damian J. Krysan

Hoechst Marion Roussel Research Institute,
 2110 East Galbraith Road, Cincinnati, OH 45215

Abstract: A dramatic reversal of π -facial selectivity in the osmium-catalyzed asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) of an exocyclic olefin is reported; wherein, switching from a phthalazine-linked ligand to a pyrimidine-linked ligand led to the opposite enantiomer using the same pseudo-enantiomer of the cinchona alkaloid.

In the course of a process research project, the osmium-catalyzed asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) of olefin **1** was studied using Sharpless' heterocycle linked, bis-cinchona alkaloid ligand system.¹ As detailed below, a remarkable dependence of the π -facial selectivity on the nature of the heterocyclic linker was discovered.

Table. Asymmetric Dihydroxylation of 1

Entry	Alkaloid	Linker	ee (%) ^b	Abs. Conf. ^c
1	DHQ	PHAL	32	S
2	DHQD	PHAL	36	R
3	DHQ	PYR	86	R
4	DHQD	PYR	93	S

1 \xrightarrow{a} **2**

Ligands

Alkaloids

DHQ (dihydroquinine) DHQD (dihydroquinidine)

Linkers

PHAL-class (AD-mix) PYR-class

a) 1 mol% $K_2OsO_2(OH)_6$, 3.0 eq $K_3Fe(CN)_6$, 2.0 eq p -TolSO₂NH₂, 3.0 eq K_2CO_3 , 1 mol% ligand, ^tBuOH/H₂O, rt.
 b) Determined by ¹H NMR of the mono Mosher's ester derivative.
 c) See text for absolute configuration assignment.

3-Methylidene-4-methoxy-2,2,3,5,6-pentamethyl-(2*H*)-benzofuran (**1**) was prepared according to a procedure described elsewhere.² Treatment of **1** with the commercially available, phthalazine based AD-mix α or β [®] under standard conditions resulted in minimal conversion after 48 hr. Fortification of the AD-mix[®] with additional osmium (total loading 1 mol%) and addition of p -toluenesulfonamide³ (2.0 eq relative to olefin) did, however, lead to smooth conversion of this sterically demanding olefin to the desired diol **2** in good yield (85-90%). The enantiomeric excess (ee) of the respective dihydroxylations was, however, far from satisfactory with

the DHQ-ligand (AD-mix α) giving a 32% ee and the DHQD ligand (AD-mix β) providing only a marginally improved 36% ee.

Much better results were observed when the dihydroxylation was performed in the presence of the pyrimidine based ligands (DHQD₂PYR and DHQ₂PYR).⁴ The DHQD₂PYR reaction gave a 93% ee while the DHQ₂PYR gave a slightly reduced 86% ee. Surprisingly, the *major enantiomer* in the pyrimidine (DHQD₂PYR and DHQ₂PYR) catalyzed reactions was the *minor enantiomer* in the phthalazine catalyzed (DHQD₂PHAL and DHQ₂PHAL) reactions. In other words, *changing the heterocyclic spacer from phthalazine to pyrimidine while keeping the chiral, cinchona alkaloid constant reversed the sense of the π -facial selectivity of the dihydroxylation.*

Attempts to unequivocally assign the absolute configuration of the diol products (**2**) have not been successful. The absolute stereochemical assignments indicated in the table are tentatively based on the assumption that the PHAL ligands follow the facial selection rules established by Sharpless' mnemonic⁵ while the PYR ligands generate the opposite facial selectivity. Concurrent results from the Sharpless lab concerning the AD of a series of sterically hindered 1,1-disubstituted styrenes related to **1** have confirmed the generality of the observed heterocycle-dependant reversal of facial selectivity and support the absolute configuration assignments in the table.⁶ Among the several olefins that have been shown to undergo linker-dependant reversal of facial selectivity, olefin **1** displays the largest change in ee yet observed.^{6a}

Any attempts to rationalize these results based upon the models and mnemonics developed to predict the enantioselectivities of the AD are beyond the scope of this Letter.⁷ Still, it seems quite clear that the dramatic, linker-dependant reversal of facial selectivity in the osmylation of this 1,1-disubstituted olefin must represent profound changes in the binding of the olefin (**1**) to the OsO₄L complex. We hope that these data will be useful in the further refinement of such models and will be of use in future synthetic applications of the cinchona alkaloid based AD technology.

Acknowledgement: I would like to thank Professor K. Barry Sharpless (Scripps Institute) for sharing unpublished data and for many helpful discussions. Tim Ayers (HMR, Cincinnati) and Gilbert Marciniak (HMR, Strasbourg) are thanked for their help with the preparation of olefin (**1**).

References and Notes

1. Kolb, H.C.; VanNieuwenhze, M.S.; Sharpless, K.B. *Chem. Rev.* **1994**, *94*, 2483.
2. Ayers, T.A.; Marciniak, G.; Schnettler, R.N. manuscript in preparation.
3. The sterically hindered nature of **1** is most likely the reason for the sulfonamide requirement in this case.¹ The use of the less costly *p*-toluenesulfonamide in place of the usual methanesulfonamide did not affect the ee.
4. Crispino, G.A.; Jeong, K.-S.; Kolb, H.C.; Wang, Z.-M.; Xu, D.; Sharpless, K.B. *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 3785.
5. Sharpless, K.B.; Amberg, W.; Bennani, Y.L.; Crispino, G.A.; Hartung, J.; Jeong, K.-S.; Kwong, H.-L.; Morikawa, K.; Wang, Z.; Xu, D.; Zhang, X.-L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 2768.
6. (a) Vanhessche, K.P.M. and Sharpless, K.B., personal communication. (b) Sharpless and Vanhessche were able to unambiguously determine the absolute stereochemistry of some of their diols and show that the facial selectivity of the PHAL ligand was consistent with the published mnemonic⁵ while the PYR ligand selectivity was counter to that predicted by the mnemonic.
7. (a) Kolb, H.C.; Andersson, P.G.; Sharpless, K.B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 1278. (b) Norrby, P.-O.; Kolb, H.C.; Sharpless, K.B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 8470. (c) Corey, E.J.; Noe, M.C.; Grogan, M. *J. Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, *35*, 6427 and references therein.

(Received in USA 13 November 1995; accepted 18 December 1995)